



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/555,057	07/10/2000	TAKESHI KUMAZAWA	1046.1213/JD	6782
21171	7590 04/04/2003			
STAAS & HALSEY LLP 700 11TH STREET, NW SUITE 500			EXAMINER	
			KE, PENG	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20001			<u> </u>
	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2174	0
			DATE MAILED: 04/04/2003	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			-5		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
:	09/555,057	KUMAZAWA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Peng Ke	2174			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	tn tne correspondenc address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under a					
Disposition of Claims	ex parte quayre, 1000 o.t	5. 11, 400 0.0. 210.			
4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	•			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	r election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	oted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)□ approved b)□ d	isapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional applicatior	1).		
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting the companies of the companies of					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		D-1-45 N: 0			

Art Unit: 2174

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because in lines 2, "visually easily grasp a content of information and capable of attaining a method" is confusing. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8, 12-15, 19-23, 25, 27-30, 32, 34-37, 39, and 41-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Straub et al. (US 6,216,141).

As per claim 1 Straub et al. teaches an object display device comprising:

a converter means for converting a representative character string of source data containing character strings into image data defined as an object (Fig 5, item 140, col 8, lines 35-44);

a storage means for storing the source data and the image in a manner of relating these pieces of data to each other (fig 1, item 40); and

a display means for displaying the image data on display means (fig 1, item 30).

As per claim 2, which is dependent on claim 1, Straub et al. teaches an object display device according to claim 1, further comprising the display means for displaying the source data linked to when the image data displayed is designated (col 8, lines 35-44).

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 3, which is dependent on claim 1, Straub et al. teaches an object display device according to claim 1, wherein the image data is structured such that the character string is converted into a bitmap and thus laid out on an background image (col 8, lines 17-25).

As per claim 4, which is dependent on claim 3, Straub et al. teaches an object display device according to claim 3, wherein the image data has a window, provided along a periphery of the background image, for showing an attribute of the source data to which the image data is linked (fig 5, item 140, col 8, lines 35-44).

As per claim 5, which is dependent on claim 4, Straub et al. teaches an object display device according to claim 4, wherein said display means displays the image data together with the window, of which a frame size differs corresponding to a capacity of the source data to which the image data is linked (fig 5, item 140, fig 6, item 170). The source data links to a web site that is displayed on browser, which takes up an entire monitor screen.

As per claim 6, which is dependent on claim 4, Straub et al. teaches an object display device according to claim 4, further comprising template images of plural types of windows, of which frame sizes are different, wherein said template corresponding to a capacity of the source data is used (col 15, lines 19-28).

As per claim 7, which is dependent on claim 4, Straub et al. teaches an object display device according to claim 4, wherein said display means displays the image data together with the window of which a frame configuration differs corresponding to the number of hours or days since the time when the source data to which the image data is linked was acquired (col 13, lines 43-49). Since the document is being updated after a period of time, it is inherent that the configuration would be different corresponding to the number of hours and days.

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 8, which is dependent on claim 4, Straub et al. teaches an object display device according to claim 4, further comprising template images of plural types of windows, of which frame configurations are different, wherein said template corresponding to the number of hours or days since the time when the source data was acquired (col 13, lines 43-49). Since the document is being updated after a period of time, it is inherent the configuration of the frame would be adjusted base on the size of the document.

As per independent claim 12, Straub et al. teaches an object display method comprising: a step of converting a representative character string of source data containing character strings into image data defined as an object (Fig 5, item 140, col 8, lines 35-44);

a step of storing the source data and the image in a manner of relating these pieces of data to each other (col 5, lines 4-14); and

a step of displaying the image data on display means (fig 1, item 30).

As per claim 13, which is dependent on claim 12, it is of the same scope as claim 2. (see rejection above).

As per claim 14, which is dependent on claim 13, it is of the same scope as claim 5. (see rejection above).

As per claim 15, which is dependent on claim 13, it is of the same scope as claim 7. (see rejection above)

As per independent claim 19, Straub et al. teaches a readable-by-computer recording medium stored with a program, for execution, comprising: a step of converting a representative character string of source data containing character strings into image data defined as an object (fig 5, item 140, col 8, lines 35-44);

Page 5

a step of storing the source data and the image in a manner of relating these pieces of data to each other (col 5, lines 4-14); and

a step of displaying the image data on display means (fig 1, item 30).

As per claim 20, which is dependent on claim 19, it is of the same scope as claim 2. (see rejection above).

As per claim 21, which is dependent on claim 1, Straub et al. teaches An object display device according to claim 1, further comprising a set means for setting an effective period as attribute information with respect to the source data, wherein said converter means for conversion into the image data does not convert the source data with an elapse over the effective period into the image data (col 13, lines 43-49).

As per claim 22, which is dependent on claim 2, Straub et al. teaches an object display device according to claim 2, wherein the previous image data is not displayed when the source data is displayed on said display means upon the designation of the image data (col 9, lines 47-54).

As per independent claim 23, Straub et al. teaches an object display device comprising: a display means for displaying plural pieces of information in a manner of sequentially changing a display content (fig 5, item 140, col 8, lines 35-44);

a detect means for detecting a predetermined user's operation for the information displayed (col 8, lines 35-44);

and a record means for recording the information operated in accordance with the detection of the users' operation (col 5, lines 12-25).

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 25, which is dependent on claim 23, Straub et al. teaches an object display device according to claim 23, wherein the information is displayed in a predetermined display format on said display means as the record of the information (col 9, lines 47-54).

As per claim 27, which is dependent on claim 23, Straub et al. teaches an object display device according to claim 23, further comprising: the detect means for detecting a selection indicating operation with respect to the information recorded; and the display means for displaying linked information corresponding to the information subjected to the selection indication operation (col 9, lines 47-54).

As per claim 28, which is dependent on claim 27, Straub et al. teaches an object display device according to claim 27, wherein the linked information is source data, and said object display device further comprises means for creating the information displayed by an extraction from the source data. (col 9, lines 47-54)

As per claim 29, which is dependent on claim 28, Straub et al teaches an object display device according to claim 28, wherein the source data belongs to a remote terminal connected via a network (col 9, lines 55-60).

As per independent claim 30, Straub et al. teaches an object display method comprising: a step of displaying plural pieces of information in a manner of sequentially changing a display content (fig 5, item 140, col 8, lines 35-44);

a step of detecting a predetermined user's operation for the information displayed (col 8, lines 35-44); and

a step of recording the information operated in accordance with the detection of the users' operation (col 5, lines 12-25).

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 32, which is dependent on claim 30, it is of the same scope as claim 25. (see rejection above)

As per claim 34, which is dependent on claim 30, Straub et al. teaches an object display method according to claim 30, further comprising:

a step of detecting a selection indicating operation with respect to the information recorded (col 8, lines 35-44); and

a step of displaying linked information corresponding to the information subjected to the selection indication operation (col 8, lines 35-44).

As per claim 35, which is dependent on claim 34, Straub et al. teaches an object display method according to claim 34, wherein the linked information is source data, and said object display method further comprises a step of creating the information displayed by an extraction from the source data (col 9, lines 48-54).

As per claim 36, which is dependent on claim 35, it is of the same scope as claim 29. (see rejection above)

As per independent claim 37, Straub et al. teaches a readable-by-computer recording medium recorded with a program, to be executed by a computer, comprising:

a step of displaying plural pieces of information in a manner of sequentially changing a display content; a step of detecting a predetermined user's operation for the information displayed (fig 5, item 140, col 8, lines 35-44); and

a step of recording the information operated in accordance with the detection of the users' operation (col 5, lines 12-25).

Art Unit: 2174

Page 8

As per claim 39, which is dependent on claim 37, Straub et al. teaches a readable-by-computer recording medium recorded with a program according to claim 37, wherein said step of recording the information includes a step of displaying the information in a predetermined display format on said display means (col 3, lines 35-40).

As per claim 41, which is dependent on claim 37, Straub et al. teaches a readable-by-computer recording medium recorded with a program according to claim 37, further comprising:

a step of detecting a selection indicating operation with respect to the information recorded (col 9, lines 48-60); and

a step of displaying linked information corresponding to the information subjected to the selection indication operation (col 9, lines 48-60).

As per claim 42, which is dependent on claim 41, Straub et al. teaches a readable-by-computer recording medium recorded with a program according to claim 41, wherein the linked information is source data, and said program further comprises a step of creating the information displayed by an extraction from the source data (col 9, lines 48-60).

As per claim 43, which is dependent on clam 42 is of the same scope as claim 29. (see rejection above)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2174

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9, 10, 11, 16-18, 24, 31 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Straub et al. (US 6,216,141) in view of Nawaz et al. (US 5,959,621).

As per claim 9, which is dependent on claim 1, Straub et al. teaches an object display device according to claim 1. However Straub et al. fails to teach that the object further comprises the display means for displaying in movement plural pieces of image data corresponding to respective pieces of source data in predetermined areas.

Nawaz et al. teaches an object comprising the display means for displaying in movement plural pieces of image data corresponding to respective pieces of source data in predetermined areas (col 8, lines 24-34).

It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Nawaz et al.'s teaching with Straub et al.'s object in order to display data in a continuous and seamless manner.

As per claim 10, which is dependent on claim 9, Nawza et al teaches a selector means for selecting a desired piece of image data from the image data displayed in movement; and the display means for displaying the selected image data in an area excluding the display area (col 9, lines 9-25).

As per claim 11, which is dependent on claim 10, Nawaz et al. teaches an object display device according to claim 10, wherein the source data linked to is displayed on said display means when the image data displayed is designated (col 9, lines 9-25).

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 16, which is dependent on claim 12, it is of the same scope as claim 9. (see rejection above).

As per claim 17, which is dependent on claim 12, Straub et al. teaches an object display method according to claim 12. However Straub et al. fails to teach that the object further comprising: a step of selecting a desired piece of image data from the image data displayed in movement; and a step of displaying the selected image data in an area excluding the display area.

Nawaz et al. teaches an object further comprising: a step of selecting a desired piece of image data from the image data displayed in movement; and a step of displaying the selected image data in an area excluding the display area (col 8, lines 24-34, col 9, lines 9-25).

It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Nawaz et al.'s teaching with Straub et al.'s object in order to display data in a continuous and seamless manner.

As per claim 18, which is dependent on claim 17, Straub et al. teaches an object display method according to claim 17, further comprising a step of displaying the source data linked to on said display means when the image data displayed is designated (col 9, lines 9-25).

As per claim 24, which is dependent on claim 23, it is of the same scope as claim 9. (see rejection above).

As per claim 31, which is dependent on claim 30, it is of the same scope as claim 9. (see rejection above).

As per claim 38, which is dependent on claim 37, it is of the same scope as claim 17 (see rejection above).

Art Unit: 2174

Claims 26, 33, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Straub et al (US 6,216,141) in view of Kisiel (US 6,327,586).

As per claim 26, which is dependent on claim 23. Straub et al. teaches an object display device according to claim 23. However Straub et al. fails to teach the object wherein the operation is a drag-and-drop operation aiming at a desired piece of information. Kisiel teaches an object wherein the operation is a drag-and-drop operation aiming at a desired piece of information (col 9, lines 40-48).

It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Kisiel's teaching with Straub et al.'s object in order to provide a friendly user interface that simplifies the open file procedure.

As per claim 33, which is dependent on claim 30, it is of the same scope as claim 26. (see rejection above)

As per claim 40, which is dependent on claim 37, it is of the same scope as claim 26. (see rejection above)

Conclusion

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to ticker in general:

Nawaz et al. (US 6421,694) discloses a system and method for displaying data items in a ticker display pane on a client computer.

White et al. (US 6392,664) discloses a method and system for presenting television programming and interactive entertainment.

Art Unit: 2174

Page 12

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peng Ke whose telephone number is (703) 305-7615. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, KRISTINE L KINCAID can be reached on (703) 308-0640. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Peng Ke March 24, 2003 Wristine Kincaid

KRISTINE KINCAID

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100